

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addres COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO BK \$1450 Alexandra, Vaggus 22413-1480 www.uspb. 259

APPLICATION NO	ETLING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO
10-088,121	07:25 2002	Edward A.Y. Fisher	LC-381-PCI-US	8094
75	05 20 5003			
Steven C Bauman Loctite Corporation 1001 Trout Brook Crossing			F XAMINER	
			MCAVOY, ELLEN M	
Rocky Hill, CT 06067			ARTUNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1764	
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Amulicant/c)	
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summan		10/088,121	FISHER, EDWARD A.Y.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Ellen M McAvoy	1764	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address	
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt - Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR I MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a retion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become ABA	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)[-	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on 25 July 2002 (prelim. amend.)		
2a)□	<u> </u>	This action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice			
·	ion of Claims			
4)[∴]	Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-12 and 14-25</u> is/are pend			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	ithdrawn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)[Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-12 and 14-25</u> is/are reject	ied.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)∟∟ Applicat	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ion Papers	and/or election requirement.		
9)	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)] accepted or b) objected to by the	ne Examiner.	
_	Applicant may not request that any objection		• •	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		isapproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are require	• •		
	The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner.		
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority doci			
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received in Ap	pplication No	
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of th application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action for	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do			
	a) The translation of the foreign langua Acknowledgment is made of a claim for de			
Attachmen		The state of the s	55 <u>-</u>	
1) Notice 2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper I	48) 5) Notice of Ir	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 10/088,121

Art Unit: 1764

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 7-12 and 14-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Apikos (4,416,788).

Apikos discloses a transparent lubricating oil composition useful as a high severity metal cutting oil which comprises a major amount of oil of high lubricating viscosity of about 50 SUS to about 1000 SUS at 100°F and minor amounts of at least one sulfur-containing compound and an effective amount of elemental sulfur. See column 1, line 28 to column 2, line 45. Apikos teaches that the sulfur containing compound may be any suitable compound soluble in lubricating oil at 40°F and which acts in combination with elemental sulfur to improve the extreme pressure properties of the composition. See column 2, line 46 to column 3, line 33. Elemental sulfur may be added to the composition in an amount of about 0.1 to about 1.5% by weight. This amount of active sulfur includes the amount of active sulfur applicants added to the examples in the application of 1.4 weight % which results in a Falax Extreme Pressure Test value of 4500+ and a Falax Wear Test of zero. The elemental sulfur component of Apikos also acts to improve the extreme pressure properties of the composition. See column 3, lines 34-48. The composition may also comprise at least one ester component as a lubricity agent. The ester component may be present in the composition in an amount of about 0.1 to about 10% by weight

Application/Control Number: 10/088,121

Art Unit: 1764

and suitable ester components include well known vegetable and fatty oils. See column 4, line 38 to column 6, line 2. Apikos teaches that other ingredients such as corrosion and rust inhibitors may be added to the composition. See column 6, lines 3-9. Thus, the examiner is of the position that Apikos clearly meets the limitations of components a), b), and c) of the claims. Applicant's open-ended claim language "comprising" allows for the addition of other additives to the composition such as the sulfur-containing compound of the prior art. The claimed properties of a Falax reference load of greater than 4,500 pounds, a Falax reference wear of less than 10 teeth, and a certain copper strip corrosion classification value are seen to be inherent properties of the composition. Although such properties are not set forth in Apikos, Apikos teaches a lubricant composition comprising the same components and suitable for the same purpose as does applicant, that is, for use as an extreme pressure lubricant in heavy duty applications such as metal working.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ellen M McAvoy whose telephone number is (703) 308-2510. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-5:00) with alt. Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (703) 308-6824. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 10/088,121

Art Unit: 1764

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Ellen M McAvox Primary Examiner Art Unit 1764

EMcAvoy May 19, 2003